Tips for "checking out" fact-checkers

Whoever correctly names the time belonging to a process or event, the correct location of this event, and correctly names the relevant occurrence with the people involved in it ...

Whoever correctly names the time belonging to a process or event, the correct location of this event, correctly names the relevant occurrence with the people involved in it, and reports what was said and done in the correct context and in the correct sequence, is likely oriented toward truths with a high probability.

In contrast, there have always been individuals or institutions who are deliberately deployed so that, regardless of actual facts or truths, a certain status quo, an opinion, or a thought model remains protected and is not questioned as much as possible. Such individuals or organizations use, for discrediting opponents, in addition to false claims about them, with a certain preference formulations like the following:

"The (relevant) claim or finding has so far found no significant acceptance in generally recognized scientific research" or "... contradicts the generally accepted state of knowledge".

That's pretty crazy, because in reality the brilliance of a scientist is shown precisely in the fact that he produces insights that simply COULD NOT previously be observed or "recognized" by others. And what has not been "recognized" by anyone so far cannot therefore be "acknowledged" either, and certainly not by any group or community of researchers - even if it is a union of professors of top graduates from Harvard University.

Whoever accepts no better argument as "justification" for disqualifying a statement than the formulation: "... is not generally recognized", is willing to be lied to. Yet exactly THIS "don't-think-way-of-thinking" is being taught to our children in schools today. Worse still, children are taught from an early age in schools WHAT they should think, instead of learning HOW one should think (evaluate data and information).

The problem with new scientific findings: Groundbreaking discoveries by a single, even unknown scientist can overturn a worldview so completely overnight that the career of a previously respected dean comes to an end. The "reputation" of a university or a

billion-dollar industry sector has a decisive effect on the "goodwill" of its investors. We have already established in this channel that even the foundations of entire religious worldviews can be shaken by a child's play experiment with water. (See here)

The film "Heart of Gold" does not automatically reflect the opinion of the editorial staff of this channel. However, some of the phenomena shown in the film have been researched by scientists who are also presented in this truuinfochannel. (Dr. Gerald H. Pollack, Victor Schauberger, Prof. Bernd Kröplin, Masaro Emoto). We share the film because the value and benefit of highly pure, clean water for human beings becomes clear in the film and EVERY one of the mentioned scientists, upon unbiased examination, provided remarkable, verifiable results.

The film is a product of American journalist and filmmaker Greg Reese. He writes on his website that he is independent and his passion is the uncovering of suppressed or hidden information that is typically not, or conversely, reported in the so-called "mainstream" media. - An intention that we also pursue in this channel with the uncovering of suppressed or hidden information about the effects of cell-available water.

It's good.
to be truu.